length and it was found that it is a very insignificant matter for Government to take action.

*Reply of Government.*—The present rule does not need to be altered.

SUBJECT No. 63.

Judicial deposits on behalf of minors.

Mr. Singri Nanjappa, Tumkur District Board.

*Summary of discussion.*—Amounts decreed in civil courts on behalf of minors are kept in judicial deposit and for some reason or other it so happens that it cannot be claimed for a long time. It is urged that in such cases the amounts may be transferred to the accounts of the State Savings Bank and interest allowed on the deposits for the benefit of the minors concerned.

*Reply of Government.*—Orders have been passed recently that if, in any case of judicial deposit belonging to minors, the court concerned desires that it should be deposited in the Government Savings Bank, the money may be received and the normal interest thereon allowed.

SUBJECT No. 64.

Copies of memoranda of appeal to be served with notices of appeal.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

*Withdrawn.*

SUBJECT No. 65.

Change of form of the moktyarnama.

Messrs. Amble Anniah Pandit and C. Narasimhaiya, District Board, Mysore, and Veene Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Lawyers are now required, when accepting a power of attorney, to certify that clients are personally known to them. As this system causes at times inconvenience both to lawyers and clients, the present certificate "personally known to me" may be replaced by "that he is the plaintiff or defendant (as the case may be)" or by some such equivalent.

*Reply of Government.*—The Chief Court will be consulted.

SUBJECT No. 66.

Printing of records.

Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—In appeals involving large considerations before the Chief Court, the existing rules require the records to be printed. This causes much delay and is a source of expense to the parties. It is requested that this rule be cancelled.

*Reply of Government.*—This is a matter within the discretion of the Chief Court.

SUBJECT No. 67.

Presentation of *interim* applications.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

*Summary of discussion.*—Formerly every *interim* application in civil courts used to be given a separate number and there was no difficulty in picking it up whenever any reference thereto was required. Under the system lately introduced, numbering is not necessary for *interim* applications and much difficulty consequently arises in picking up the required references, when more than one *interim* application is received in one and the same case, because in each application, the date of the previous

application has to be mentioned. The old method of numbering these applications may be revived.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be referred to the Chief Court.

SUBJECT No. 68.

Service of bailable warrants.

Mr. Veene Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam taluk, and Mr. B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam Municipality.

*Summary of discussion.*—Whenever bailable warrants are issued by Magistrates for the appearance of the accused and witnesses, they are entrusted for execution, to ordinary constables who are not empowered to take surety from such persons. In such cases respectable persons are put to much difficulties. It is therefore prayed that in future the dafadars and higher officers who have power to take bail may be entrusted with the execution of such warrants.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be looked into.

SUBJECT No. 69.

Reduction of registration fees.

Messrs. D. Srikanta Rao and D. S. Hanumantha Rao, Devanhalli taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—It will be highly appreciated by the public if the existing rates of fee levied for registering documents, etc., be modified and a reduced scale prescribed instead. The present rates are as given below :—

| Amount | Fee | Amount | Fee |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. 50 | 0-8-0 | 300 to 500 | 3-0-0 |
| „ 100 | 1-0-0 | 500 to 1,000 | 4-0-0 |
| „ 200 | 2-0-0 | and for every additional thousand, a fee of Rs. 2. | |
| „ 300 | 3-0-0 | | |

The proposed rates are as follows :—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. 50 | 0-8-0 | 250 to 300 | 3-0-0 |
| Rs. 100 to 150 | 1-8-0 | 300 to 500 | 3-0-0 |
| „ 150 to 200 | 2-0-0 | 500 to 1,000 | 4-0-0 |
| „ 200 to 250 | 2-8-0 | and for every thousand, a fee of Re. 1. | |

By the proposed change transactions will increase and both the Government and the public will be benefited.

*Reply of Government.*—The Inspector-General of Registration will be consulted.

SUBJECT No. 70.

Registration fee in cases of *guthige karars*.

Messrs. C. Vaman Rao and Nadig Subba Rao, Nagar taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—At present *guthige karars* for sums less than Rs. 50 made for five years are exempted from payment of stamp fee and from registration. This concession may be extended to *karars* where the consideration is Rs. 100 in the malnad tracts.

*Reply of Government.*—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 71.

Village officials to be furnished with extracts of documents presented for registration.

Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—It is requested that when documents are presented for registration the Sub-Registrars may be ordered to furnish extracts from them to the village officials concerned of properties dealt with therein, so that they may be filed together and placed at the disposal of the public in view to prevent double dealings, forgery and the like.